

## ServeNebraska 2011-2012 AmeriCorps Formula Grant Review Scoresheet

Applicant:	
Reviewer:	
Date Reviewed:	
	0 Weighted Subtotal: Program Design (PERFECT = 100)
	0 Weighted Subtotal: Organizational Capacity (PERFECT = 50)
	0 Weighted Subtotal: Cost Effectiveness & Budget Adequacy (PERFECT = 50)
	0 TOTAL SCORE (PERFECT = 200)

For the statements below, use a 1 to 5 scale to indicate your agreement. 1 = strongly disagree 5 = total agreement

### Program Design (50%)

	<b>PROBLEM</b>
	The need to be addressed is defined by compelling data
	There is persuasive evidence that the identified problem exists in the targeted community(ies)
	<b>AMERICORPS MEMBER ROLES &amp; RESPONSIBILITIES</b>
	There is a clear explanation of the role that AmeriCorps members will play in addressing the identified problem(s)
	AmeriCorps members duties do not duplicate or replace staff roles
	The number and type (full-time, half-time, etc.) are appropriate to accomplish the program goals
	The recruitment plan for AmeriCorps is likely to be effective
	The recruitment plan for AmeriCorps members is likely to result in a diverse AmeriCorps team
	<b>AMERICORPS MEMBER SELECTION, TRAINING &amp; SUPERVISION</b>
	The orientation plan for AmeriCorps members is comprehensive
	An outline of proposed training for AmeriCorps members is provided
	Ongoing training will equip AmeriCorps members to be successful in their service
	The process for selecting supervisors of AmerCorps members is adequate
	Ongoing training for supervisors is identified
	The supervision plan for members provides adequate support for the AmeriCorps members
	<b>OUTCOME: PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>
	The impact of the service to be provided by AmeriCorps members is clearly measured
	<b>VOLUNTEER GENERATION</b>
	The plan to recruit volunteers to extend the impact of AmeriCorps members is strong
	The role of AmeriCorps members in volunteer recruitment & management is clearly defined
	Volunteer roles are clearly defined
	<b>PARTNERSHIPS AND COLLABORATION</b>
	Stakeholders and partners are clearly identified
	The role of stakeholders and partners in designing & implementing the program is clearly defined
	<b>SUSTAINABILITY</b>
	Plans for sustainability are sound and promote the future of the program
0	<b>SUBTOTAL (PERFECT = 100)</b>

### Organizational Capacity (25%)

	<b>ORGANIZATIONAL BACKGROUND</b>
	The applicant has successful experience administering complex grants
	The applicant has strong systems to monitor program and financial progress
	The role of organizational staff and volunteer leadership in providing oversight is defined
	The applicant has strong experience in raising the resources needed to support community service programming
	<b>STAFFING</b>
	Staff leadership of the AmeriCorps project is clearly identified
	Identified staff are qualified to lead the program
	There is a strong plan for financial and programmatic staff orientations
	There is an effective plan for providing training & technical assistance to staff
	There is a strong plan for monitoring program compliance and service sites
	The process for selecting service sites is strong
0	<b>SUBTOTAL (PERFECT = 50)</b>

### Cost Effectiveness & Budget Adequacy (25%)

COST EFFECTIVENESS	
	The cost per member is \$13,300 or less (Score 5 for Yes -- 1 for No)
	The budget provides for the activities and items mentioned in the narratives
	Expenses identified in the budget are appropriate and reasonable
	The applicant outlines how it has (or will) obtain diverse resources for program implementation
BUDGET ADEQUACY	
	The applicant adequately explains how the budget is sufficient to meet program goals
0	SUBTOTAL (PERFECT = 25)
0	UNWEIGHTED TOTAL (PERFECT = 175)

### Comments

Strengths:

Areas for Improvement:

Suggestions to Strengthen the Proposal: